

EXHIBIT 6/12/02

**Dawn Nauman**

---

**From:** WIENCED@Nationwide.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 12, 2002 12:39 PM  
**To:** Dawn Nauman  
**Subject:** Re:Tonights Planning and Zoning Meeting.

Please share this memo with the other members of P and Z.

For what it's worth I wanted to share my thoughts on any potential change to the restrictions on portable basketball goals in the ROW.

I remain opposed to their placement in the ROW. Irregardless of whether the resident would have liability coverage within their homeowners insurance policy, I feel that if the City allows placement, there is a potential for a liability action against the city. We would also be tacitly encouraging playing in the street and the purpose of streets is to convey vehicular traffic. I also feel strongly that they are an eyesore and degrade the quality of our neighborhoods and would be opposed to their placement even if safety was not an issue.

Additionally, if a compromise is to be reached I would ask that these thoughts be considered.

I struggle with our ability to definitively say that one street is safer than another. What would define a cul de sac? Would loop streets qualify? How long would a street have to be before it was no longer considered a cul de sac or loop?

If placement within any ROW is allowed I would suggest that some reasonable restriction away from intersections be considered to ensure that someone rounding a corner is not surprised by play in the street.

If there is agreement to allow placement, I suggest that we go no further than suggesting wording for a draft modification of the ordinance and allow sufficient time for legal review and careful consideration of the wording of the exception.

Thanks, Dan W.

Thanks, Dan W.

A cul de sac exception should define the maximum length of the street.