

## **CITY COUNCIL**

**October 19, 2004**

A regular meeting of the City of Powell Council was held on October 19, 2004 and called to order at 7:35 p.m. by Mayor Dan Wiencek. Other Council members present were David Lackey, Don Grubbs, George Kaitsa, Richard Cline, Art Schultz, and Jim Seta. Also present were Stephen A. Lutz, City Manager; Ken Molnar, Director of Law; David Betz, Director of Development; Rob Rice, City Engineer; Nan Metz, Finance Director; and Dawn Nauman, Clerk of Council.

### **PRESENTATION OF COLORS**

Cub Scout Troop 428 presented the colors, gave the Cub Scout promise, and led the Council and audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

### **CITIZEN PARTICIPATION**

There was none.

### **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

The minutes of October 5, 2004 were amended and approved.  
The minutes of October 12, 2004 were amended and approved.

### **FINANCIAL REPORTS**

Nan Metz, Finance Director, reviewed the Monthly Financial Report.

Mayor Wiencek noted that the Development Fees are being collected earlier than anticipated. He asked if the capital improvements budget has been adjusted accordingly. Ms. Metz said that the shortfall in income tax collections in 2004 are being off-set by the development fees. From a long-term standpoint, the same amount of money is being received, just over a different period of time. Mr. Lutz agreed, that just because we are collecting more, we can't complete more capital improvements than the plan calls for because it is a balanced plan for five years worth of income.

Ms. Metz noted that Mr. Seta asked at the last meeting what is the status on the Police Facility contract. She said the City has approximately \$75k remaining on that \$175k contract. Mr. Seta asks how that equates to the percentage of completion on design. Mr. Lutz said the design is nearly complete, and representatives of Horne and King architects anticipate bringing the final project forward in November or December.

Mr. Wiencek asked if Ms. Metz can identify whether the 2% gas increase is off-setting the local government fund freeze. Ms. Metz said that it has easily picked it up. Mr. Lutz said one of the differences, however, between the gas tax and the local government fund is that the gas tax can only be used for specific uses, where the local government fund could be used for a variety of uses.

### **OTHER REPORTS**

**The Monthly Parks and Rec. Report** was received. Mayor Wiencek asked where the City is regarding directives to the Parks and Recreation Advisory Board. Mr. Seta said he has provided those directives to Council and he is looking for comments before it is sent to the Board.

**The Monthly Planning and Zoning Report** was received. Mr. Betz said that the Planning and Zoning Commission did recommend to Council some large non-residential establishment Zoning Code amendments. Council will review those recommendations beginning in November.

**The Monthly Police Report** was received. Lt. Steve Hrytzik was present to answer questions regarding the report as well as the community survey. Mr. Lackey said he felt that it was a good survey, although he felt questions 1 and 2 might have received responses that were effected more by general things outside of Powell than within the City, such as the war in Iraq, or 9/11. He said that the rest of the survey was good, the response is great, and it shows that the Police Department is doing a great job.

Mayor Wiencek said he knows that typically the ticket-to-warning practice is 4:1. He said that the survey indicates a disparity between that practice as a whole and how it is applied to the residents. He said it seems

highly unlikely that Powell residents are better drivers than general public. Lt. Hrytzik says a lot of it has a lot to do with who responded.

Mr. Grubbs noted that Olentangy Ridge had a 20% return rate.

Mr. Kaitsa asked if people could give multiple responses to question 19. Lt. Hrytzik said it was an open-ended question. They look for input from the community so they can judge how they are doing. Mr. Kaitsa noted that the survey identifies that 95% of citizens feel the Police Department is good or excellent.

Mr. Schultz asked what the City is doing to try to help the traffic situation over the next couple of weeks for the southern Liberty Road railroad tracks being closed. He said it is a big inconvenience for a great deal of people. Lt. Hrytzik said they are concerned about the traffic volume and speed on Bennett Parkway, and they are working to combat the speed. Mr. Schultz asked if there is anything that can be done to improve the efficiency of the Powell Road/S.R. 315 intersection. Mr. Lutz said that is within the jurisdiction of the county sheriff, and their policy is not to patrol intersections. Mr. Schultz said he spoke to a resident who was concerned that no one seemed to be working at the crossing. Mr. Lutz said he may have spoken to the same resident who expressed an interest in the City overseeing this project, which is a CSX project that is slated to be completed in two weeks. He said that the intersection cannot be opened during periods that it is not being worked on because the concrete has been ripped out. Mr. Schultz said he wanted to make sure they didn't rip out the intersection and "go home for a few days." Mr. Seta said that once this is done CSX will be working on the Seldom Seen railroad crossing, which will need to be closed for 2 weeks as well. Mr. Lutz said that the City has received many complaints on the quality of that intersection.

#### CLERK OF COUNCIL CORRESPONDENCE

Dawn Nauman, Clerk of Council, reported that the city has received numerous requests to be notified of updates to the City web site and hopefully the first notification will go out next week.

#### RESOLUTION 2004-24: A RESOLUTION TO CONDITIONALLY ACCEPT AND BEGIN THE REQUIRED, MINIMUM ONE-YEAR MAINTENANCE PERIOD FOR THE PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS IN GOLF VILLAGE, SECTION 1, PHASE C SUBDIVISION AS THE SAME IS NUMBERED AND DELINEATED UPON THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED IN CABINET 3, SLIDE 296, DELAWARE COUNTY, OHIO RECORDS.

Stephen A. Lutz, City Manager, said that the City Engineer has inspected in this section, which is the Dominion Homes site located on the west side of Sawmill Parkway north of Seldom Seen Road.

Mayor Wiencek opened this item to public comment. Hearing none, he closed the public comment session.

**MOTION:** Mr. Cline moved to adopt Resolution 2004-24. Mr. Seta seconded the motion.

**VOTE:** Y 7 N 0

#### THIRD READING: ORDINANCE 2004-57: AN ORDINANCE APPROVING A COMBINED PRELIMINARY AND FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR 3 PILLAR HOMES FOR A MIXED-USE DEVELOPMENT CONSISTING OF APPROXIMATELY 4,555 SQ. FT. RESTAURANT, 14,040 SQ. FT. RETAIL, AND 17,905 SQ. FT. OFFICE ON THE SECOND STORY IN THE FIRST PHASE FOR A PROPERTY LOCATED AT 50 S. LIBERTY STREET.

Stephen A. Lutz, City Manager, said that the applicant associated with this project is still working on the proposed four-corners cost sharing agreement. Staff recommends tabling this until the next meeting.

**MOTION:** Mr. Cline moved to table Ordinance 2004-57 until the next scheduled meeting. Mr. Seta seconded the motion.

**VOTE:** Y 7 N 0

#### THIRD READING: ORDINANCE 2004-61: AN ORDINANCE APPROVING A FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR VILLAGE COMMUNITIES FOR THE RESIDENTIAL PORTION OF THE TRADITIONS OF POWELL, CONSISTING OF 40 TOWNHOME CONDOMINIUMS ON 6.1 ACRES LOCATED ON THE NORTH SIDE OF WEST OLENTANGY STREET ADJACENT TO THE EAST OF VILLAGE POINTE SHOPPING CENTER.

Stephen A. Lutz, City Manager, said that at the last meeting, there was quite a bit of discussion regarding the developer's responsibility for making infrastructure improvements surrounding this property and at the four corners. A report on this issue was included in the packet.

David Betz, Director of Development, reviewed the site location, adjacent uses, and development plan. Mr. Betz briefly reviewed the area of this parcel that has an approved preliminary development plan for restaurant and office uses. He said the final plan for the office use is before the Planning and Zoning Commission now, and if the applicant decides to go with a different use than restaurant, that would have to come back as a revised preliminary development plan.

Mr. Betz reviewed that this did go to Planning and Zoning Commission for review of the tree divergence and they committed to pay \$6k to off-set some of that variance. He said this is related to condition number 9 of the ordinance. Regarding condition number 8 in the ordinance, the applicant included a letter in the packets indicating that they would rather improve Case and Lincoln streets than the four corners.

Tre Giller, Village Communities, was present to answer any questions about the overall development. He said he thinks there is only one issue remaining, and that is related to the costs and the improvements associated with this plan. He reviewed the architectural details for the units as they were designed to be as in-scale and friendly as possible in the downtown area. He said this is a walking community within close proximity to the downtown.

Mr. Grubbs said that the information presented regarding the necessary and additional road improvements associated with this project was the kind of detail he was looking for. He questioned the information regarding the requirements of the Liberty Township Fire Department related to not having a second access for this development. Mr. Giller said that the issue is whether the improvements to Case and Lincoln are necessary for their development. At the last meeting, someone said that two accesses would be necessary, but the Fire Department has said that a second *emergency access only* could be permissible. Mr. Grubbs asked if it is correct that even though the Fire Department has said that it wouldn't be required, the second entry is planned anyway. Mr. Giller said that as it stands right now, they plan to make the improvement and provide the two accesses. However, they wouldn't want to do that in addition to improvement at the four corners. He said that if it is estimated that their responsibility is 1-2% of traffic increases at the four corners intersection and the improvement is expected to be \$1 million, then they would make a payment for \$20k to improve the four corners and not make the improvements to Case and Lincoln to accommodate the anticipated 9 cars for the peak hour period that are expected to use that intersection. He said that the cost to improve Lincoln and Case is estimated at \$70k. He said if Council doesn't require the four corners contribution, they will continue with their plan for Lincoln, Case, and West Olentangy as improvements associated with this project. Mr. Grubbs clarified that the internal road will be open. Mr. Giller said that is correct.

Mr. Kaitsa said that he thinks the applicant is documenting the contribution they are making over and above that which is required. Mr. Giller said that his report shows that the traffic study doesn't indicate a certain amount of things they have agreed to do, but they are willing to do. However, the unknown nature of the improvement of the four corners is hard for them to commit to when they are already agreeing to a \$70k improvement that may or may not be necessary for the development. Mayor Wienczek asked if Rob Rice, City Engineer, has reviewed the numbers and deemed them accurate. Mr. Rice said they seem reasonable, but as a private company, the applicant will get better prices for such improvements than the City would. Mr. Kaitsa asked if the City would pay at least this much. Mr. Lutz said that prevailing wage requirements usually equal a cost increase for public projects. Mr. Betz said in his mind, that is why this is so important. He said that Case and Lincoln will be important street that will provide a loop system around this and other properties that might come up for development in this area. Discussion ensued.

Mayor Wienczek said the bottom line is that, even if the cost of the improvement to the four corners was \$3 million, and the applicant contributed 2%, they still wouldn't reach the level of participation that they have already committed to with Lincoln, Case and Olentangy Streets. He said he thinks they have adequately covered their cost of their impact.

Mr. Cline asked if it is correct that an additional \$20k begins to impact on the marketability of this development if it is amortized over those 40 units. Mr. Giller said that is absolutely true, but the bigger concern is the unknown

nature of the improvement. He said he isn't even sure whether it is a \$20k donation. He said it is impossible for him to review an unknown number as a potential impact. Discussion ensued. Mr. Cline indicated that he feels the office and residential portion of this development may have the greater traffic impact on the four corners intersection and he has no problem addressing this issue again regarding those two parcels rather than amongst the 40 units in this residential development. He said that the 1.3% impact is minimal as identified in the traffic study, but it was for the entire parcel, not just the residential, and the unknown nature of that parcel makes it hard to determine the responsibility. Discussion ensued.

Mayor Wiencek said the intention is to make developers realize that developments have impacts above and beyond the immediate facility and that they take responsibility by apportioning to the city, in a yet to be determined method, their portion of that impact. He said this is focusing on the four corners, but it doesn't matter to him whether the improvement is to streets adjacent to the development rather than the four corners because it is still an improvement to the City's infrastructure. Mr. Giller said he thinks the beginnings of this conversation was related strictly to the four corners, and that was discussed at the Planning and Zoning Commission level.

Mayor Wiencek said the issue that started this is that 3 Pillar Homes, whose development plan was tabled earlier, is being asked to participate in the four corners improvement, and Council wants to be consistent with such a requirements for developers downtown. Mr. Kaitsa asked, if the developer didn't improve Case and Lincoln, when would it need to be done. Mr. Lutz said it would be a Capital Improvement project but in order for it to become a priority, something else would have to be deferred or deleted. He said there are other improvements that Council may want to do before building streets. Mr. Kaitsa expressed a desire to require improvements to the four corners by downtown developers but allow credit for other infrastructure improvements that the City would ultimately have to do but are completed by applicants through the development process. Mr. Schultz said he thinks the City needs to make the choice on an individual basis whether the improvement to the four corners will be required or other improvements are acceptable in exchange. Mr. Kaitsa said that this developer is allowing the City to make that decision right now. Discussion ensued regarding what is and is not required as a result of this development.

Mr. Seta said he was skeptical at the last meeting, but what the applicant has provided helps him understand that this development will provide infrastructure improvements for the whole of Powell. He said he thinks improving Case and Lincoln will benefit the residents that live there as well as future residents. He said is in support of this proposal and he thinks this is a great improvement to downtown.

Mr. Grubbs said he agrees that item 8 is intended to provide consistency among development downtown. It focuses on the four corners because the development downtown centers around that. He also agrees, though, that the information provided identifies that there is a contribution. He said he would be more comfortable identifying the contribution as a credit toward that improvement rather than a waiver of the four corners improvement requirement. Mr. Kaitsa agreed.

Mr. Cline said that he has been convinced that this is an appropriate resolution to this issue and that it is unfair to require the applicant to do both the Lincoln, Case and Olentangy Street improvements and improvements to the four corners. He said he is very concerned that Council not leave an applicant with a clause in the ordinance that says we are going to do some agreement in the future.

Mayor Wiencek opened this item to public comment. Hearing none, he closed the public comment session.

**MOTION:** Mr. Cline moved to amend Ordinance 2004-61 to add a new Section 2 as follows: "That Council hereby determines that the conditions set forth in Section 1, paragraph 8 are fully satisfied by the applicant's improvements to Case, Lincoln, and Olentangy Street, per the approved development plan." He further moved to renumber Sections 2-3 and 3-4 respectively. Mr. Lackey seconded the motion.

**VOTE:** Y 7 N 0

Mr. Lackey said that he agrees that the applicant has contributed its fair share to infrastructure improvements and he likes the style of the buildings, but his biggest problem is that there is a Zoning Code requirement for a maximum of 9 d.u./acre and this development has a density of 9.46 d.u./acre. He said that these are not the type of condominiums that empty-nesters will move in, but rather families will because of their 2-story nature, and he

fears the impact on the schools. He said that every time Council approves such developments, there is a net loss to the schools. He said the schools just got some money yanked as a result of Bank One enforcing their rights, and the school budget is tight. He said he feels it is a mistake to allow the maximum density to be exceeded when there will be an impact felt throughout the community.

**MOTION:** Mr. Cline moved to adopt Ordinance 2004-61. Mr. Kaitsa seconded the motion.  
**VOTE:** Y 6 N 1 (Lackey)

**THIRD READING: ORDINANCE 2004-62: AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING PARK RULES AND REGULATIONS FOR PARKS WITHIN THE MUNICIPALITY OF POWELL AND PROVIDING PENALTIES FOR VIOLATIONS THEREOF AND REPEALING ORDINANCE 93-15.**

Mayor Wiencek reviewed suggested some typographical amendments to the Rules and Regulations as submitted. He asked if the rules and regulations reflect people's ability to post signs identifying certain events in the park. There was no consensus on a need to change those regulations. He suggested adding language requiring that people stop using a facility based on their reservation only if no one else is waiting in line to use it. Mr. Cline questioned the need to include that in the rules. Mr. Lackey agreed. He said it is a public park, and these regulations just limit the exclusive use of portions of it. Mayor Wiencek deferred.

**MOTION:** Mr. Cline moved to make the following typographical amendments:

1. On page 2: changing the title of Parks and Recreation Director to Parks, Recreation and Public Service Director.
2. One page 9: To change the reference to *the multi-purpose field* to *a multi-purpose field*.

Mr. Seta seconded the motion.

**VOTE:** Y 7 N 0

Mayor Wiencek opened this item to public comment. Hearing none, he closed the public comment session.

**MOTION:** Mr. Cline moved to adopt Ordinance 2004-62 as amended. Mr. Seta seconded the motion.  
**VOTE:** Y 7 N 0

Mr. Snyder reminded Council of the Halloween Walks event scheduled for Saturday night from 7-9 p.m.

**FIRST READING: ORDINANCE 2004-70: AN ORDINANCE TO GRANT FINAL ACCEPTANCE OF THE PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS OF LAKES OF POWELL SECTION 5 SUBDIVISION AS THE SAME IS NUMBERED AND DELINEATED UPON THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED IN CABINET 2 SLIDES 399-399A-399B DELAWARE COUNTY, OHIO RECORDS.**

Stephen A. Lutz, City Manager, noted that infrastructure improvements are given a conditional approval and then at least a year after that, the City Engineer inspects everything to make sure that it is still in condition. There are three ordinances this evening proposed for final acceptance of public improvements. He said that this first one is located west of Salisbury Drive and north of Stamford Drive.

Rob Rice, City Engineer, said that he is hoping in the near future to bring more of these before Council for approval. He said that there are many subdivisions in the City that don't have final acceptance even though it has been quite some time since they were developed. He said that he recommends approval of this and the next two ordinances. Mayor Wiencek asked if M/I Homes has taken down their advertising signs because they have been at 95% complete for a long time. Mr. Rice said he is unsure. Mr. Lutz said he can look into that.

Mayor Wiencek opened this item to public comment. Hearing none, he closed the public comment session.

**MOTION:** Mr. Cline moved to suspend the rules on Ordinance 2004-70. Mr. Seta seconded the motion.  
**VOTE:** Y 7 N 0

**MOTION:** Mr. Cline moved to adopt Ordinance 2004-70. Mr. Seta seconded the motion.  
**VOTE:** Y 7 N 0

**FIRST READING: ORDINANCE 2004-71: AN ORDINANCE TO GRANT FINAL ACCEPTANCE OF THE PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS OF LAKES OF POWELL SECTION 6, PART 1 SUBDIVISION AS THE SAME**

**IS NUMBERED AND DELINEATED UPON THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED IN CABINET 2 SLIDES 576-576A DELAWARE COUNTY, OHIO RECORDS.**

Stephen A. Lutz, City Manager, said that this subdivision is located on the east side of Salisbury Drive north of Tyler Run Elementary School.

Mayor Wiencek opened this item to public comment. Hearing none, he closed the public comment session.

Mr. Seta asked if the sidewalk on the east side is all the way connected. Mr. Rice said it is.

**MOTION: Mr. Cline moved to suspend the rules on Ordinance 2004-71. Mr. Seta seconded the motion.**

**VOTE: Y 7 N 0**

**MOTION: Mr. Cline moved to adopt Ordinance 2004-71. Mr. Seta seconded the motion.**

**VOTE: Y 7 N 0**

**FIRST READING: ORDINANCE 2004-72: AN ORDINANCE TO GRANT FINAL ACCEPTANCE OF THE PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS OF LAKES OF POWELL SECTION 6, PART 2 SUBDIVISION AS THE SAME IS NUMBERED AND DELINEATED UPON THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED IN CABINET 2 SLIDES 682-682A DELAWARE COUNTY, OHIO RECORDS.**

Stephen A. Lutz, City Manager, said that this subdivision is also located on the east side of Salisbury Drive north of Tyler Run Elementary School.

Mayor Wiencek opened this item to public comment. Hearing none, he closed the public comment session.

**MOTION: Mr. Cline moved to suspend the rules on Ordinance 2004-72. Mr. Seta seconded the motion.**

**VOTE: Y 7 N 0**

**MOTION: Mr. Cline moved to adopt Ordinance 2004-72. Mr. Seta seconded the motion.**

**VOTE: Y 7 N 0**

**FIRST READING: ORDINANCE NO. 2004-73: AN ORDINANCE APPROVING THE SECOND AMENDMENT TO THE PRE-ANNEXATION AGREEMENT AMONG THE CITY OF POWELL, TRIANGLE PROPERTIES, INC. AND THE LIBERTY COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE FINANCING AUTHORITY.**

Stephen A. Lutz, City Manager, said that representatives from the authority are not present to discuss this, so he recommends this item be taken to a second reading. He reviewed that the City, the developer and the Authority entered into a pre-annexation agreement when Golf Village was annexed. Any time there is a change, it typically requires approval of those three parties. He said that the original agreement stated that \$12,500 would be allotted each year for operating expenses. That was amended about a year ago to increase to \$25,000. This proposal is an increase up to \$115,000. He said that operating expenses include legal expenses, auditing fees, accounting service fees, board meeting fees, bond fees, and insurance expenses. He suggested that a representative be here at the next meeting to discuss this in greater detail. He said the Authority approved this at their last meeting as did the developer.

Mr. Lackey asked Nan Metz, Finance Director, as a member of the financing authority whether this is excessive, going from \$25,000 to \$115,000. Ms. Metz said that is something the authority has struggled with. She said the financing authority contracts with the developer for accounting services and with Bricker and Eckler for legal services. She said those two components are driving this request. Mr. Lackey said it seems to him that it would be a lot cleaner if a third-party independent group was doing the accounting rather than Triangle (the developer). Ms. Metz said that has also been difficult for the authority. As the developers, Triangle has ready access to a lot of the information that the treasurer of the authority is trying to account for. She said that it is a Catch-22. Mr. Lackey agreed, with an outside accountant, you may spend more because information isn't readily accessible, but by allowing the developer to do this themselves, there isn't the transparency. Ms. Metz said the developer also has an agreement with the County Auditor and is permitted by law to collect the 10 ¼ mills current as opposed to in arrears, which is when most property taxes are collected. But in order to be collected current, they can't use the County Auditor's assessed valuation. They are collecting it based on when property changes hands and what the value is on the permit. The treasurer for the financing authority is the comptroller for the developer and they review the permits and certify the value to the County Auditor so they know what to

collect. That activity is driving the cost up. The reverse side is that it could be collected in arrears but then that doesn't necessarily benefit the developer or the authority or the City because the development charges won't be coming in as quickly. Mr. Lackey expressed concern that current residents are paying more than they should have to, and there is no way to know that if the developers are handling the financing.

Mr. Grubbs asked if the expenses are annual expenses, where the authority is allowed up to a certain expense every year. Ms. Metz said that is correct. She said that all of these expenses are paid from the community-development fees. There are certain funds that they can set aside from the charges to pay operating and maintenance expenses. The \$115k is for legal and accounting. Mr. Grubbs said that at the second meeting, he'd like to see the break down as to how we've gone from \$12k-25k-115k.

Mr. Kaitsa asked if some portion of the \$115k goes to Triangle. Ms. Metz said it does, because the comptroller for Triangle is the treasurer of the Financing Authority. She said the other thing that is difficult for the financing authority to deal with is that under the contract between the financing authority and Triangle, either party can give notice of canceling that contract and Triangle is basically saying that if the increase in fees are not improved, they intend to give notice to the authority that they will no longer provide accounting services and the authority will have to find other services.

Mr. Seta asked if the \$115k increase will be felt by the City. Ms. Metz said not directly. The community development charges paid by the residents go to the financing authority. The authority pays operating and maintenance expenses first. Then they pay the interest on Don Kenny's debt. Then they City debt service is paid for. Ultimately, if the expenses grow higher, then the debt will continue longer and the residents will have to pay the development charges longer. Mr. Seta agreed with Mr. Grubbs and Mr. Lackey. He said when the City signed this agreement, this amount was never even close to that number. He asked what is to say in a year, the cost won't be \$300k. Mr. Kaitsa agreed this is a ten-fold increase. Mr. Cline agreed that the increase is only in 2 ½ years time, and while there may be logical reasons for it, when the checks and balances are both held in one party's control, it makes people a little nervous. He asked that whomever comes before Council to provide information be notified of the level of concern of Council at this time.

There being no further comment, this item was taken to a second reading.

#### COMMITTEE REPORTS

**Development Committee:** Mr. Seta said the next meeting is November 3 and one of the issues is the directive to the Parks and Rec. Committee. He said the next meeting, they will be working on items for the consultant for the four corners to consider.

**Finance Committee:** Mr. Cline reported that the Committee is scheduled to meet tomorrow night to begin review of the 2005 proposed budget.

**Operations Committee:** Mr. Lackey reported that the Committee is working on how to communicate with Homeowners' Associations.

**Downtown Committee:** Mr. Grubbs said that the next meeting is November 4 and that all the committees are to meet prior to that and report back.

#### CITY MANAGERS REPORT

Stephen A. Lutz, City Manager, reported on the following items:

- The bonfire Saturday night had a good turn out despite the weather.
- The parks progress has slowed down due to weather issues. Earthwork has stopped until the weather improves.
- A letter was received from the Council for Older Adults asking that Council reappoint Joseph Girard to the board. He is being considered as president next year.

**MOTION:** Mr. Cline moved to reappoint Joe Girard as the continued representative for Powell for the Council of Older Adults. Mr. Seta seconded the motion.

**VOTE:** Y 7 N 0

#### OTHER COUNCIL MATTERS

